

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA**

State of Idaho, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	ORDER
)	
vs.)	
)	
United States Environmental Protection Agency, et al.,)	Case No. 1:24-cv-100
)	
Defendants.)	

On September 9, 2024, the parties filed a Joint Proposed Scheduling Plan. (Doc. No. 44). The court **ADOPTS** the Parties' plan (Doc. No. 44). The schedule and page limits for briefing cross-motions for summary judgment on the merits are as follows:

Filing	Due	Page limit
Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and memorandum in support	November 4, 2024	40
EPA's combined cross-motion for summary judgment and response to Plaintiffs' motion	January 3, 2025	40
Defendant-Intervenors' combined cross-motion for summary judgment and response to Plaintiffs' motion	January 17, 2025	30
Plaintiffs' combined reply in support of motion and response to EPA's and Defendant-Intervenors' motions	February 18, 2025	40
EPA's reply in support of cross-motion for summary judgment	March 20, 2025	10
Defendant-Intervenors' reply in support of cross-motion for summary judgment	March 27, 2025	10
Plaintiffs file joint deferred appendix	April 10, 2025	

The parties are relieved of the requirement in D.N.D. Civ. L. R. 7.1(A)(2) to include “a recitation of the material facts that the moving party claims are uncontested” and the requirement in D.N.D. Civ. L. R. 7.1(A)(3) to “state the facts upon which the party opposing summary judgment relies and . . . clearly identify those facts that the opposing party claims are contested and that require a trial.”

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 17th day of September, 2024.

/s/ Clare R. Hochhalter
Clare R. Hochhalter, Magistrate Judge
United States District Court